

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Norma's article dated Nov. 4, 2015 in the Courier needs their facts checked because the following statement by the President of the POA is incorrect, an outright lie, he is misrepresenting our original covenants. The original developers stated that, a homeowners association could be formed if the majority of people who reside in the Druid Hills wanted an association. The developers by no means setup a mandatory POA, in 1971 there was no association in the Druid Hills Subdivision at that time. **The POA president stated in the Nov. 4, 2015 article, "Ours is a mandatory POA setup by the developer in the original 1971 covenants", Rusterholtz.**

The developers Ken Barber and Burns Moore's "Declaration of Protective Covenants" states in paragraph #7. Homeowners Association: "A homeowners association may be formed if desired by residents in Druid Hills, and the sole qualification for membership shall be ownership of a fee or undivided fee interest in any lot in Druid Hills. "If the majority of lot owners elect to form such as association, then all members agree to become members... **This never happened!**

The DHPOA was formed without the consent, expressed desire, or recorded vote of any type, * of the then property owners of the Druid Hills, (majority of owners) as is required by the original covenants". In 1985, at the first meeting there was not a vote, any approval, or consent, from the residents of the Druid Hills. The developers of the original 1971 covenants stated the above conditions as "if the majority of lot owners elect to form such an association" they may do so

The POA has no proof otherwise, of signatures or votes to have formed such an association on record. The truth is the majority of residents did not desire or want an association to be formed in 1985, people walked out of the meeting. I have proof, a sworn statement in 2002 of the truth about the illegal forming of the DHPOA, by the founder and President of the DHPOA; he came forward after he resigned in 2000. Therefore, Rusterholtz is incorrect about their association being mandatory any time in this century.

Sincerely,

Diana Foraci

DHHOA <https://aboutdruidhillshoa.com>

<http://dhhoa-teller-80816.simplesite.com/>